Change Management Process on Database Level within RUP Framework
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Abstract: This paper presents a tailored RUP sub process model with reference to database design and implementation. It describes the process of creating and using documentation for a database team working concurrently on database implementation and focuses on RUP construction phase of the application life cycle, with the emphasis on Implementation and Change Management discipline on the database level. Change Management Process Model is proposed for database development and is compliant to the tailored RUP framework. Integration points are RUP defined artifacts, roles, and activities. The paper presents new artifacts added to the tailored RUP model, as well as all other issues and solutions to the same, for efficient organization of the database development team.
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1 Introduction

One of the most important elements for a software project to be successful is to define appropriate underlying software process in such a way that it responds to the project’s needs. Rational Unified Process (RUP) is a software development process applicable to a variety of projects and able to adjust to various project needs. RUP is an iterative process, divided into four main stages [1]: Inception, Elaboration, Construction, and Transition. Throughout the project duration, each of these stages requires different disciplines to be applied, while amounts of workload may vary. Generally, RUP comprises of nine disciplines: Business Modeling, Requirements, Analysis and Design, Implementation, Test, Deployment, Configuration and Change Management, and Project Management and Environment. The concept of roles and activities give answer to the questions of “who is doing which task”, “what” is the task, and “how” to accomplish the task. Artifacts are results of performing the activities. RUP includes a template sometimes accompanied with an artifact example [1]. However, the list of roles, artifacts, and activities itself does not constitute a process. These process elements and their interactions have to be organized in a proper way, which is accomplished by workflows. This methodology assures all prerequisites for the production of high quality software [1].

RUP stands for a framework and therefore presents many extension points and tailoring opportunities. When adapting RUP [2] to fit a specific project, it is important to keep the integrity of RUP as a framework. A tailored RUP model still defines a project in terms of phases and set of disciplines. However, some disciplines, artifacts, and roles may be excluded or added. Existing literature and work does not provide many guidelines on how to tailor RUP in this way, though there exists a clear need for such guidelines [2].

This paper presents a tailored RUP sub process model with reference to database design and development. RUP was originally tailored for the case study of information intensive services development, in the scope of a research project conducted by the KATE-KOM company and the University of Zagreb, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing. The applications deal with notification services via SMS messages, assuring a fast, simple, and cheap system capable of exchanging information among different customers and application providers. The complete approach used in tailoring RUP for the development of the start-up application is described in [3]. The development of the subsequent appli-
cations within information-intensive services set was used for fine-tuning the original tailored RUP model.

Although a complete RUP tailored model covers the entire software lifecycle, Construction phase and database development activities have been chosen for this paper as the most illustrative. The development environment is presented and a case study project is defined in terms of size, complexity, and length to allow a better understanding of the utilized approach for defining a database development process. We have specified all the problems and issues regarding the database development in a project’s duration, and a solution is proposed in the form of a database development process model. The proposed process model is fully compliant and joined to the tailored RUP process model through the requested RUP database oriented artifacts, defined at the project level.

The paper is organized as follows: First section shortly indicates tailoring guidelines for the project case study. Favorable tailoring decisions are formalized and stored as a part of the process model, and thus can be applied in future projects. In the second section, database design and implementation process model is described. Eventually, database development process model for RUP construction phase is introduced as well as issues and solution for creating documentation for change management at database level.

2 Description of the tailored RUP model
First we will mention the basic principles of the tailoring approach, which is completely described in [3]. A software project case study is recognized as a small project with a short product cycle (a small team with less than fifteen members, project duration of less than one year). The number of documents in such projects tends to be smaller and less detailed. Small project in the case study described in this paper was specific due to frequent adding of new people, so one of the goals was focusing project efforts on knowledge management and efficient knowledge transfer without major time penalties.

The baseline for RUP tailoring was a selection of only those key development activities indispensable for delivering a high quality software product [3]. Tailored RUP features are as follows [3]:

- **Identifying and prioritizing project risks.** Process artifacts aiming in risk mitigation are more detailed, and their structure as agreed within the project. Each conclusion, agreement, or solution to a problem occurring during the development process must be formalized within the most appropriate artifact for a particular development discipline.
- **Concurrency in development activities is highly encouraged to attain a more agile development.**
- **An effective software configuration management process is established within the whole application life cycle.**

**Configuration management (CM)** is concerned with managing evolving software requirements within different lifecycle phases. Nowadays, software development teams face ever-changing requirements as a result of hectic market needs, effective project level CM should control the costs, deadlines, resources, and efforts involved in making changes to the application. The project CM board consists of those development team members who carry out key development roles and are experienced enough to reasonably analyze the impact of each change in a project. Within the tailored RUP model in the project case study, the proposed CM is defined both on project and database level, serving to control the implementation of the changes.

In the case study, a database was created, implemented, and tested on MS SQL Server 2000. The database contains a great amount of data about users, functionalities, and charging details regarding information intensive service. Other database technologies and CASE tools used in the project case study are shown in Table 1. It is broken down by the tool's product name, and the reason why the tool was chosen for a particular aspect of the case study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Tools used in our case study development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tools</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rational Requisite Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rational Rose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rational Soda For Word</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS SQL Server – Enterprise Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS SQL Server – Query Analyzer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS SQL Server – Profiler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text processing tools (ex. MS Word)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3 Database development process model for RUP construction phase

After the initial Requirements discipline activities are completed, the following basic artifacts are created: Requirements Management Plan, Software Requirements Specification, Supplementary Specifications, and Use-Case Model.

These artifacts are the foundation of:

- Determining general data and their attributes to be contained in a database;
- Defining relations between different kinds of general data;
- Representing general data and associated attributes in an object-oriented manner by using a design model containing use-case realizations, design package, and design class diagrams.

Quality database design in this phase is crucial for proper input of changes to the database in later project phases. In our case study, requirements changed rapidly and the new ones were frequently added. If the database design was inadequately developed, errors would come up soon with addition of new requirements. Database design errors are the most difficult ones to fix and the most expensive due to the possibility of damage to the entire database later on in the project. A valid database design should be open for introducing future change requests. Following guidelines in [5], we have developed design model in the case study containing use-case realizations, design package, and design class diagrams.

Figure 1 shows design class diagram as a part of design model in the project case study. Application contains one database with data users, services, charging information etc. and these data are object-oriented and represented in the class diagram. According to these classes, new tables are created in database.

Based on the design model and requirements oriented artifacts mentioned before, data model creation is started. In data model, objects and their attributes are created relationally, according to the conversion between database design and data model proposed by RUP. By this conversion classes in the design model become tables in the data model, attributes in the design model become database columns in data model etc. Database data model is created and has clearly specified database structure. Well-defined and validated data model ensures avoiding conflict situations that may come up during database development and changing.

Figure 2 shows part of data model created in the project case study. In the shown model, data are represented as tables, attributes and constraints, and show the true database condition. Data model may contain stored procedures, triggers, user-defined data, functions etc.

Within given tailored RUP model for the project case study, database development team has associated two roles. First role is database developer. This role is assigned to one or more people. Second role is database development leader. This role is assigned to only one person. In the case study project database development team (DBD team in the following text) consists of one database development leader (DBD leader) and three database developers (DB developers). Database development team’s activities and responsibilities are organized as follows:

- DBD leader participate as a DBD team representative in the project CM board and is engaged in the decision-making process regarding requested changes. All decisions from CM board are documented within RUP artifact Change Requests Decisions document, created by using the tool Rational RequisitePro (Table 1).
The way that the change is implemented in the database is discussed in the DBD meetings with all DBD team members included regularly.

DB is divided into several logical units (LU) covering different application business logic features. Each developer is responsible for design and implementation of the particular DB LU.

All DB developers should have the understanding of the overall database functioning, purpose and assignment, but they are not ought to be familiar with the implementation details for those DB LU they are not responsible for.

Database design model and data model are the results of the collaboration of all DBD team members. DBD leader is responsible for validating the models and managing implementation and testing activities.

Each DB developer has a local copy of a database. A master database exists on the server.

Each DB developer is responsible for the implementation of the assigned DB LU, and for testing it on the local database copy. DB developers create stored procedures, jobs etc. in MS Query Analyzer and MS Enterprise Manager (Table 1).

Each DB developer is responsible for documenting implementation and testing of assigned DB LU.

In the defined time, all the DB local implementations are imported on the central DB for which DBD leader is responsible for. The role of project tester is responsible for testing integration of DB and other application modules.

One DBD team member is appointed for writing basic database artifacts defined by RUP, design classes, use case models and to develop internal database documentation: DBD Change Input, DBD Change Control Document, Implementation and testing records, DBD Testing records, DBD Proposals and recommendations.

3.1 Change management process on database level

There are some situations when changes must be entered into a database, sometimes unfortunately even just before software product delivery. The reasons for this are efficient response to market needs. However, even minor database change entry can cause chain reaction and finally crash the database. Database change just before software product delivery is called a high-risk situation, and has to be under full control. Different database implementations can have the same interface to other non-database modules. Introducing an inadequate change in a database can have negative impact on the functioning of the entire application.

The assumptions inherited from the project CM process are:

- All introduced changes must be documented. This should prevent a possible conflict between different application modules. Process should ensure that all DB developers are aware of all database changes.
- Changes are classified as major and minor. DBD leader who analyze change request approved by project CM board does the classification. Minor changes on the database level in our case study are changes affecting less than five objects. This type of changes does not implicate significant reconstruction of the stored procedures, jobs, tables etc. All others are considered major changes. The change request is documented in artifact DBD Change Input. Knowledge about major changes should be shared among the all team members and should be documented in DBD Change Input, DBD Change Control Document.
- If more than one database developer make changes in database at the same time, the database testing and error handling is disabled. Database has to be unchanged in the defined period. Only changes that will appear in defined period are documented.

If more than one database developer is involved in the same project database creation, establishing a change input period is needed. Change input period is a clearly defined period for entering changes into database and documenting it. In our case study, a change input period had a value of one week. Each database developer has a local version of the main database and enters changes through defined change input period into it. At the end of the change input period, database developers join their changes to the main database version. Exactly at specified day a DB developers enter tested changes they implemented on their local database copy into central database on the server. New DB objects are imported to existing central database using tools like MS Enterprise Manager for database objects import (Table 1) or in case of minor changes DB developers enter changes into central database manually. DBD leader supervises integration of all local changes to the main database. If integration problems happened, DBD leader is responsible for coordinating DB developers to solve a specific problem.

DB developers’ tasks in CM process at database level are:

- Taking care about assigned minor change independently and implementing it, testing and document it by itself. This should be done during defined change input period.
- Participating in the modification of the database design model, data model and other artifacts affected by major change.
Reviewing each proposal of the change implementation that was proposed by other DB developers. The change implementation proposals are documented in DBD Change Control Document.

DB developers have access to shared document repository containing a different database objects constructions. They use predefined templates for documenting the change. These documentation templates are fulfilled with advises, instructions and examples arising from previous projects, and they present effective way of reminding about different important issues that could be eventually omitted.

DBD leader tasks in database CM process are:

- Assigning changes to the DB developers.
- Consulting DB developers through the process of new database object creation in: design model, data model, implementation of complex solutions, etc.
- Reviewing DB developers major change propositions.
- Organization and leading of database meetings. All members of DB team should attend database meetings regularly.

Figure 3 shows proposed CM process at database level. Process input is the change request arrival (1). The change has to be accepted by project CM board. Database CM process is described as follows:

1. DBD leader receives documented change request in Change Requests Decisions document, containing the database change specified as a new requirement.
2. DBD leader classifies change as a major or minor.
3. DBD leader assigns change to the particular DB developer. In case of a minor change, change is assigned to only one developer. In case of a major change, the change is assigned to two or more developers.
4. Before implementing assigned change, DB developer reads appropriate documentation with examples and existing solutions. Those are stored in DB team document repository in order to find the best solution for the change they have to implement.
5. DB developer proposes his solutions for assigned change request and writes down his proposal in the DBD Change Control Document (Table 2).
6. DBD leader and DB developers write down comments to proposed solutions in the same document.
7. In case a proposed solution is inappropriate, database development team returns to the state (6). Otherwise, it will be accepted and implemented in further steps continuing into state (9).
8. DB developers implement and test accepted change solutions.
9. DB developers document testing process. The documentation consists of test inputs, expected outputs, and test results for every part of the code he has done. Also there is indicated the test script is created. (12) If database change implementation is not successfully accomplished, DBD team returns to beginning of database change implementation (state 9). If database change implementation is finished, implemented database change is integrated with the main database (13).

3.2 Creating documentation for the change management at database level
The complete documentation for change management at database level is indispensable for knowledge sharing and knowledge preserving between DBD team members. This kind of documentation enables communication between database developers, their leader, and provides better insight in the database development activi-
ties. The documents for CM at database level are origin
RUP artifacts and added artifacts for covering DBD
team activities, database knowledge management, and
transfer to the new DBD team members.

Table 2 shows documents for database design
defined by RUP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artifacts</th>
<th>Database action / RUP phase</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Defined by RUP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Design Model</strong></td>
<td>Design / All phases</td>
<td>Object model describing the realization of use cases, and serves as an abstraction of the implementation model and its source code [4].</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Model</strong></td>
<td>Design / All phases</td>
<td>Describes the logical and physical representations of persistent data used by the application [4].</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Specific Guidelines</strong></td>
<td>Design / All phases</td>
<td>Guidance on how to perform a certain activity or a set of activities in the context of the project [4].</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DBD Change Input</strong></td>
<td>Change input / Construction and Transition</td>
<td>Detailed description of every database object, including information for database team.</td>
<td>Not defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DBD Change Control Document</strong></td>
<td>Change input / Construction and Transition</td>
<td>Description of proposed solution, other DB developers comments.</td>
<td>Not defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Implementation and testing records.</strong></td>
<td>Change input / Construction and Transition</td>
<td>Description of database implementation process, testing process and record of possible problems in those processes.</td>
<td>Not defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DBD Testing records</strong></td>
<td>Testing / Construction</td>
<td>Testing process description including test scripts description.</td>
<td>Not defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DBD Proposals and recommendations.</strong></td>
<td>Testing / Construction</td>
<td>Supplementing the existing and creation of new proposals and recommendations.</td>
<td>Not defined</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 also contains documents used in our case study that are not defined by RUP:

- **DBD Change Control Document.** Preserves information about change implementation proposals and objections, and is helpful for maintaining open database structure.
- **Implementation and testing records and DBD Testing records.** Preserve information about entered changes that are important for further database testing, integration and maintenance of the overall application.

DBD leader is responsible for providing all necessary documentation, templates, and possible best practice from previous projects and for monitoring the process of database documentation management.

4 Conclusion
The paper presents a tailored RUP sub process model with respect to database design, implementation, and change management. RUP was originally tailored for the case study of information intensive services development, but experience of start-up application showed need for better formal modeling of database development process, especially regarding change management. Therefore, the process of change management on the database level was formalized and supplemented with additional artifacts in order to document and transfer database knowledge and efforts. From our experience, this approach was helpful and beneficial for the overall project, even after the application delivery for both perfective and corrective maintenance process, especially for better knowledge transfer through the project.
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