Estimation of the tissue composition of the tumor mass in neuroblastoma

Fábio J. Ayres, Marcelo K. Zuffo, Rangaraj M. Rangayyan, Graham S. Boag, Vicente Odone Filho, and Marcelo Valente

University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil SENAC College of Computer Science and Technology , São Paulo, SP, Brazil Alberta Children's Hospital, Calgary, AB, Canada Instituto da Criança, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Clinical and image-based analysis





Tumor mass enclosing the aorta, unresectable

Tumor response to therapy

Intermediate density: active or viable tumor

Low density: necrosis

High density: calcified tissue

Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)

Class probability α_i

Conditional probability of a CT value

Gaussian Mixture Model

$$\mathbf{p}_i(x|\mu_i,\sigma_i) = \frac{1}{\sigma_i \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu_i)^2}{2\sigma_i}\right)$$

$$\mathbf{p}(x|\mathbf{\Theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \alpha_i \mathbf{p}_i(x|\mu_i, \sigma_i)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta} = (\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1, \boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_2, \boldsymbol{\mu}_2, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_M, \boldsymbol{\mu}_M, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_M)$$

Maximum-likelihood principle

Likelihood of the parameters (assuming independent samples)

Bayes rule and flat prior assumption

$$L(\boldsymbol{\Theta}|\mathbf{x}) \equiv p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\Theta}) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} p(x_j|\boldsymbol{\Theta})$$

$$p(\mathbf{\Theta}|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{\Theta})p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{\Theta})}{p(\mathbf{x})} \approx L(\mathbf{\Theta}|\mathbf{x})$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{optimal} = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}} \left\{ L(\boldsymbol{\Theta} | \mathbf{x}) \right\}$$

Estimation of model parameters

- Assume number of tissue types M=3
- Initialize GMM means to the mean of the tumor histogram and mean ± 0.5 std. dev.
- Initialize GMM variance = variance of the tumor histogram
- Apply Expectation-Maximization algorithm





Case 1b June 2001

Case 1c Sept 2001



Voxel count



GMM for Case 1a, M = 3





GMM for Case 1b, M = 3



GMM for Case 1c, M = 3



Weight of Gaussians





Case 2a, Mar 2000

Case 2b, July 2000







Case 2a, Mar 2000

Case 2b, July 2000



Case 4a Feb 2001





Case 4b April 2001

Case 4c June 2001





Conclusion

- We have developed a method for objective assessment of tumor response to therapy
- The method provides quantitative parameters representing the tissue composition of the tumor
- The results should assist in planning therapy and delayed surgery

Acknowledgments

Kids Cancer Care Foundation, Calgary

Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada

Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa de Estado de São Paulo, Brazil